

14 Lilac Close  
Loughborough  
Leicestershire  
LE11 2LA  
England UK

PH: (0509) 237844

27th July 1994

Dear Harold,

I am writing because I am a little confused regarding your stand on Garrison.

The reason I say this is because a friend of mine, Joseph Backes from New York told me in a letter about a conversation you had with Jim Dieugenio, author of 'Destiny Betrayed' a pro Garrison book. Apparently Dieugenio asked you if and how the Kennedy assassination could be solved, and you told him it could be solved by following up the leads left by Jim Garrison. This is where I am confused, because in most of your previous letters you have been highly critical of Garrison and his investigation to the point where you have told me in previous letters that "he was just making it all up as he went along", and "I know of nothing factual that he ever brought to light".

If this conversation with Dieugenio is true and in light of what you have told me in the past, please could you tell me in your opinion the specific leads or area's of the Garrison Investigation and New Orleans connection, you feel need more attention and a closer look. Also could you tell the one's which in your opinion were a farce.

One of the area's that needs a closer look at is the visit to Clinton by Oswald, Ferrie and I would say Shaw and not Bannister on the testimony of the town Marshal, John Manchester who told him that the driver of the vehicle identified himself as Clay Shaw, and this corresponded with his drivers license. He also identified the passenger as Ferrie. Please let me know your thoughts on this.

I hope you are well and I look forward to hearing from you soon.

All the best

JUSTIN D. BOWLEY



8/10/94

Mr. Justin Bowley  
14 Lilac Close  
Loughborough  
Leicestershire  
LE11 2LA  
England.

Dear Mr. Bowley,


I do not remember ever speaking to DiEugenio. If ever it was very long before his theorizing palmed off as serious work on the JFK assassination appeared.

If you can get your friend to send me a copy of what he cited to you I'll appreciate it.

In the context in which you use it I cannot have said that unless that was before I came to recognize the <sup>2</sup>great tragedy that <sup>u</sup>Garrison was.

I know of no legitimate leads <sup>u</sup>Garrison left.

Sincerely,

  
Harold Weisberg